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Contractor Employees and Grantees

The Law, Rights, and Filing Procedures

Title 10 U.S.C § 2409
“The DoD’s ability to protect our warfighters and safeguard the taxpayer’s money depends on each of us. We rely heavily on our military members, civilian employees, and contractors to freely report issues of fraud, waste, and abuse without fear of retaliation. We all are potential whistleblowers and we should be aware of the protections afforded to us under the applicable statutes. It is a responsibility we can’t afford to dismiss!”

Ken Sharpless, DoD OIG WPC
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WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION HISTORY

• Congress wanted Federal employees to report, without fear of retaliation, if they witnessed or otherwise became aware of fraud, misconduct, or other wrongdoing by Federal officials, employees, contractors, or grantees

• Congress initially addressed whistleblower rights and protections for Federal employees as part of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978

• Protections updated and strengthened in the Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989 (WPA) provided Federal employees very specific rights and protections if they “blow the whistle” on waste, fraud, and abuse in the Federal government and personnel actions are taken against them

• Executive Order 12674, as amended, requires Federal employees to, "disclose waste, fraud, abuse and corruption to appropriate authorities."

• The Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2012 broadened the scope for employee protections, and authorized an Ombudsman position to educate employees of each federal agency on their individual rights, responsibilities, and protections

• Congress passed the Whistleblower Protection Coordination Act in 2018 which renamed the Ombudsman to Whistleblower Protection Coordinator with similar responsibilities
The Whistleblower Protection Coordinator is a designated individual who is required to educate DoD employees about whistleblower rights and protections, however is not an advocate for those who file a complaint.

Department of Defense Hotline Allegations of reprisal can be reported through the DoD Hotline at: www.dodig.mil

Administrative Investigations, Directorate of Whistlebearer Reprisal is a team of specialized investigators and alternative dispute resolution attorneys that review, investigate, and mediate when feasible, reprisal allegations from military service members, employees of contractors and grantees, nonappropriated fund instrumentality employees, employees within the intelligence community and those having access to classified information, and appropriated fund employees. The directorate also has oversight responsibility for reprisal allegations and reports of investigations originating from the service components and defense agencies.
An employee of a contractor, subcontractor, grantee, or subgrantee, or personal services contractor may not be discharged, demoted, or otherwise discriminated against as reprisal for disclosing to an authorized recipient that the employee reasonably believes evidences:

- gross mismanagement of a Department of Defense contract or grant
- gross waste of Department funds
- an abuse of authority relating to a Department contract or grant
- violation of law, rule or regulation related to a Department of Defense contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract) or grant
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety

In other words, 10 U.S.C. 2409 makes it illegal for a Defense Contractor to discharge, demote, or otherwise discriminate against an employee of contractor, sub-contractor, grantee, sub-grantee, or personal service contractor for making a protected disclosure.
A whistleblower can be an employee of a DoD contractor, subcontractor, grantee, subgrantee, or personal services contractor who discloses information they reasonably believe evidences gross mismanagement of a Department of Defense contract or grant, gross waste of Department funds, an abuse of authority relating to a Department contract or grant, a violation of law, rule or regulation related to a Department of Defense contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract) or grant, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety.

When the employee’s disclosure is made to:

• a member of Congress or a representative of a Committee of Congress,
• an Inspector General
• the Government Accountability Office
• an employee of the Department of Defense who has responsibility for contract oversight or management
• a court or grand jury or
• a management official or other employee of the contractor or subcontractor who has the responsibility to investigate, discover, or address misconduct
Additionally,

An employee who initiates or provides evidence of contractor or subcontractor misconduct in any judicial or administrative proceeding relating to waste, fraud, or abuse on a Department of Defense contract or grant, shall be deemed to have made a disclosure.
• Discharge

• Demotion

• Otherwise Discriminated Against as Reprisal
• You are an employee of a major government contractor, FX, INC. and a member of FX team supporting a services contract with a DoD Component and are renegotiating a contract

• You have a reasonable belief that the DoD contracting officer involved in the contract renegotiations was offered a job with FX and is in violation of a law...

• If you report this to an Inspector General, or a management official of the contractor having authority to investigate, discover, or address misconduct you are protected under the Whistleblower Protection Statute (10 U.S.C. 2409) from retaliation, if the FX employer terminates your employment a week later “for your disclosure”
Remember,

If you make a protected disclosure and believe you have been reprised against because you made the disclosure, the Whistleblower Protection Act (WPA) and Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act (WPEA) provide you with certain rights and remedies.
A person who believes they were subjected to a reprisal under 10 USC 2409 may submit a complaint to the Inspector General of the Department of Defense.

According to the statute, a complaint may not be brought forward if more than 3 years after the date on which the alleged reprisal took place.

Complaint should contain the name of the contractor, the contract number, if known. If not, a sufficient description to identify the contract, what was disclosed and to whom, and the date and description of the reprisal action.

Unless the Inspector General determines that the complaint is frivolous, or fails to allege a violation of the statute, or it has previously been addressed in another Federal or State judicial or administrative proceeding initiated by the complainant, the Inspector General will investigate the complaint.

Once the investigation is completed, the Inspector General will submit a report of the findings of the investigation to the person, the contractor concerned, and the head of the agency.
The Department of Defense Office of Inspector General (DoD OIG) conducted this investigation in response to whistleblower reprisal allegations against Valiant Integrated Services, Limited Liability Company (VIS). It was alleged that VIS attempted to transfer the Complainant from the U.S. Embassy in Baghdad, Iraq, to Basra, Iraq, and then discharged her on January 29, 2018 in reprisal for her making protected disclosures to various individuals who had oversight responsibility for the contact between VIS and the Department of the Army.

The Department of Defense Office of Inspector General (DoD OIG) conducted this investigation in response to an allegation that on December 22, 2017, Willowheart Limited Liability Company (Willowheart) placed [REDACTED] (the Complainant), Contract Security Guard (CSG), Willowheart, on a temporary administrative leave of absence without pay, in reprisal for reporting violations of North Carolina state law, and for reporting abuse of authority to Inspectors General (IGs) and a contracting officer representative (COR).

The Department of Defense Office of Inspector General conducted this investigation in response to an allegation that (Redacted Name), U.S. Army (USA), (Redacted Name), Blue Grass Chemical Activity (BGCA), Richmond, KY, recommended the revocation of the Complainant’s access to classified information in reprisal for the protected disclosure to the chain of command and Inspector General.

Find and read redacted reports at: www.dodig.mil
Title 10, U.S.C. § 2409
What’s in the Statute

- Not later than *30 days after receiving an Inspector General report, the head of the agency concerned will determine if there is sufficient basis to conclude that the contractor has subjected the complainant to reprisal.

- The head of the agency can either issue an order denying relief or can take one or more of the following actions:
  - Order contractor to take affirmative action to abate the reprisal.
  - Order contractor to reinstate the Complainant to the position held before the reprisal, together with compensatory damages (including back pay), employment benefits, etc.
  - Order contractor to pay complainant an amount equal to the aggregate amount of all costs and expenses (including attorneys' fees and expert witnesses' fees) reasonably incurred by the complainant.

*Time can be considerably longer depending on case complexity and legal reviews.*
If the head of an executive agency issues an order denying relief or has not issued an order within 210 days after the submission of a complaint or 30 days after an extension of time, and the delay is not because of the complainant, the complainant has exhausted all administrative remedies with respect to the complaint.

The complainant may bring a de novo action against the contractor to seek compensatory damages and other relief available in the appropriate district court of the United States.

A de novo action at the request of either party, can be tried by court with a jury.

An de novo action may not be brought more than two years after the date on which remedies are deemed to have been exhausted.
• You don’t have to provide your name when making a protected disclosure. You can elect to remain anonymous or request your name not to be released

• If you remain anonymous, you cannot be contacted for additional information; it may not be possible to investigate your allegations, resulting in dismissal

• If an investigation is conducted, it is always possible your identity will become known during an inquiry or investigation due to the nature of the allegations

• If you provide your name and request it not be released, be aware there may be circumstances, usually related to litigation, when agencies are compelled to identify whistleblowers, or circumstances when identities must be disclosed for compelling reasons of health or safety
Disclosure of any information subject to specific non-disclosure statutes, orders, and agreements (for example trade secrets or national security and classified information) may only be made in accordance with law and regulations for the disclosure to be covered by Whistleblower protections and remedies.

- Use the Secure Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNET) for complaints at the SECRET level.
- Use the Joint Worldwide Intelligence Communications System (JWICS) for complaints involving TOP SECRET information.
- For classified disclosures, go to www.dodig.mil/Hotline/classifiedcomplaint.html to learn how to report them to the DoD Hotline.
Title 10, U.S.C. § 2409
Filing Complaint with DoD Hotline

- Report and resolve your complaint within the Inspector General (IG) system; you can notify your local or command IG office

- You can file a complaint with the DoD Hotline: [www.dodig.mil](http://www.dodig.mil)

- Phone: 1-800-424-9098 (Call prior to submitting complaints via SIPRNET or JWICS or to ask general questions regarding submitting a complaint)

- Do not report the following through the DoD Hotline:

  - 911 Emergencies
  - Minor incidents of time and attendance abuse
  - Minor incidents of theft of Government resources
  - Minor incidents of misuse of Government vehicles
  - Department of Defense job opportunities
  - DoD Incentive Awards Program suggestions
  - Personnel matters involving requests for individual relief
  - Employment related grievances and complaints against management officials
  - Complaints of EEO discrimination
  - Matters relating to the Uniform Code of Military Justice to include Article 15 appeals
  - Tricare complaints
  - DoD Contractor Compliance and Disclosure requirements
  - Locating a military service member
  - Obtaining military records
  - Child and spousal support matters
  - Pay and allowance matters
  - Deceptive lending practices and other consumer fraud
TITLE 10, U.S.C. § 2409
IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS

• Reach out to the DoD Whistleblower Protection Coordinator who is:

  ▪ Responsible for educating all agency employees on responsibility of reporting fraud, waste, abuse and misconduct to appropriate authorities
  
  ▪ Educating agency employees on the roles of agencies investigating allegations of reprisal
  
  ▪ Educating agency employees about the rights and remedies against retaliation for protected disclosures under applicable statutes

• Prohibited from acting as an employee’s or former employee’s legal representative, agent, or advocate

Contact the Coordinator at wpc@dodig.mil
• Provides a secure means for employees to report to Congress allegations regarding classified information

• Establishes a process for employees, to include military service members and contractor employees of the four intelligence agencies to report matters of “urgent concern” to the intelligence committees of Congress

• This Act does not grant protection for intelligence community employees; you maybe afforded protections from reprisal while engaging in protected activities via other whistleblower protection laws; i.e., 10 USC 2409 or PPD-19

• Contact the DoD Hotline at (800) 424-9098, for advice on filing procedures and timelines
Matters of Urgent Concern Defined

- A serious or flagrant problem, abuse, violation of law or Executive Order, or deficiency relating to the funding, administration, or operations of an intelligence activity involving classified information; does not include differences of opinions concerning public policy matters

- An action, including a personnel action described in section 5 U.S.C. § 2302 (a)(2)(A) constituting reprisal or threat of reprisal prohibited under section 7(c) of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, in response to an employee reporting an urgent concern

Click on this: IG Act of 1978 § 8h
Other whistleblower protection statutes are:

- Military Service Members, 10 U.S.C. § 1034
- Appropriated Fund Employees, 5 U.S.C. § 2302
- Intelligence Community Employees or those having Access to Classified Information, PPD-19
- Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Employees, 10 U.S.C. § 1587
- Review the statutes: click on me
- Review the guides on how to file: click on me
INTEGRITY ★ INDEPENDENCE ★ EXCELLENCE
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